

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,804	08/22/2003	Masami Tomita	241833US0	7882
22850	7590 08/19/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			GOODROW, JOHN L	
·- -	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1756	

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)										
Office Action Summer	10/645,804	TOMITA ET AL.										
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit										
	John L. Goodrow	1756										
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).												
Status												
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on												
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.											
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the												
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims												
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.												
						<u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
						8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
						Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.												
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.												
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).												
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).												
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119												
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 												
					2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage										
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,											
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		· •										
IDS = \$/	12/03 , 12/03 , 12/03 , 2/6 64 , 9/04 , 9/64 , 9/64 ; 9/6	1, 3/04, 3/04 7/64, 7/64 14, 11/04, 11/04, 1/05										
Attachment(s) 3)	105, 4/05, 6/05,											
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa											
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>30</u> .5 hee 75	6) Other:	FF										

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugiyama et al [6800412] in view of Emoto et al [6846604] and Berks et al. [6057076]. Sugiyama et al teaches the use of a releasing agent in a toner and developer. The release agent is a wax particle having a diameter of 0.1-3µm with modified polyester. Sugiyama fails to teach either the shape of the toner particle or the uneven dispersion of the wax particles. Emoto et al teaches the use of wax with a spherical toner particle and Berkes teaches that the surface of the toner can be enriched in wax content. Note Col.7 lines 1-10. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention with a reasonable expectation of success to use the shape of the toner particle and the wax content of the toner particle that have improved fluidity and transferability with low temperature fixation.

Claims 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emoto et al [6756175] in view of Berkes et al [6057076]. Emoto et al teaches a method of fixing

Art Unit: 1756

an image in an apparatus having a belt-fixing unit. The wax in the toner particle can exist close to the surface of the toner particle note Col. 18 line 35- Col. 19 line 30. The benefit of having the wax near the surface is also taught in Berkes note Col. 7 lines 1-15. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention with a reasonable expectation of success to use toner having a wax with a surface concentration in an apparatus and imaging process of fixing the developed image with a heated fixing unit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Goodrow whose telephone number is 571-272-1384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> John L Goodrow Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756